UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,164	08/30/2005	Jerome Tauzin	LOM-43	5234
23599 7590 04/04/2008 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			AUDET, MAURY A	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,164	TAUZIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MAURY AUDET	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,13 and 14 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 9-12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Examing 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examing 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2004 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific production of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific production of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific production of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific production of the specific production is objected to by the Examination of the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objected to be specifically as the specific production is objec	withdrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. ner. s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objection of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light content. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

As noted previously, the present application has been transferred from former Examiner Young to the present Examiner.

Applicant's filing of a Notice of Appeal is acknowledged. The present action is being sent NON-FINAL, to properly address that the elected invention was actually a fraction comprising SEQ ID NOS: 5, and 8-10, as this Examiner misinterpreted the previous Examiner's rejoinder of claims and indication of SEQ ID NO: 5 as the elected "species", which it was not. The Group and rejoinder of claims (other groups) remain drawn to SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 8-10, as the invention (not species), even though presently amended claims 7-14 have not been so amended, nor are SEQ ID NOS: 8-10 even included in certain claim groupings. Based on the election, the current claim set remains quite confusing, being drawn to numerous peptides not grouped or elected.

Group XIX, SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 8-10, was and remains the elected group. The previous examiner then rejoined claims 1 and 2, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition and method of making, a peptide selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 8-10. Claims 7 (pharmaceutical composition comprising at least two peptides selected from the group consisting SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 8-10), and claims 9-12 (a food product composition comprising at least two peptides selected from the group consisting), are examined on the merits as Drawn to SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 8-10. Claims 8 and 13-14 are withdrawn from consideration, as depending from cancelled claims.

Art Unit: 1654

Claim Objections

Claims 7 and 9-12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The claims have not been amended commensurate in scope with the elected invention.

Namely, SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 8-10. Other non-elected SEQ ID NOS: remain therein. Were the claims so amended, they would likely receive favorable consideration.

The prior art of record was not found to reasonably teach or suggest the combination of any two or more of SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 8-10. Individually only, Garault et al., 2002 (J. Biol. Chem. 277(1): 32-39), is deemed the closest prior art of record. Garault et al. teach the present peptide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 5, FALPQYLK, of casein-alpha-s2, in Table II, page 36. As well as present peptide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 8, ALNEINQFYQK, of casein-alpha-s2, also in Table II, page 36. [The previous Examiner also indicated SEQ ID NO: 9, ALNEINQFY, was taught therein, but this fragment of SEQ ID NO: 8 was not found by the present Examiner therein. However, Garault et al. does not teach or suggest the combination of presently claimed SEQ ID NO: 5 and 8, or any other combination.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 1st Scope of Enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a food product comprising a combination of two or more of SEQ ID NOS: 5 and 8-10, does not reasonably provide enablement as a combination food product for ACE inhibition or a pharmaceutical composition for treating hypertension. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, is maintained for the reasons of record, based on #1 below, as fully described in the earlier action by the previous Examiner, but now applying equally to SEQ ID NOS: 8-10. The former Examiner's 4-page detailed explanation as to why the elected SEQ ID NO: 5 is enabled as a food product, but not enabled for e.g. treating hypertension, or even clearly established for its underlying pathway of ACE inhibition.

Thus, the rejection of the claims as lacking enablement for the following reasons is maintained:

1. None of elected SEQ ID NOS: 5 or 8-10 has been established as capable of treating hypertension (e.g. claim 11), nor one of it's underlying pathways - as capable of inhibiting ACE (e.g. claims 7 and 9-10, 12).

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAURY AUDET whose telephone number is (571)272-0960.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 7/21/2007

/Maury Audet/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654